	Case 2:23-cv-00398-WBS-JDP Docume	ent 9 Filed 05/31/23 Page 1 of 2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7		
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12	CARLOS JIMENEZ and JUAN PINZON, individually, and on behalf of other	Case No.: 2:23-CV-00398-WBS-JDP
13	members of the general public similarly situated,	CLASS ACTION
14	Plaintiffs,	ORDER GRANTING JOINT STIPULATION
15	vs.	TO PERMIT PLAINTIFFS LEAVE TO FILE AMENDED COMPLAINT
16	ROOFLINE, INC. dba ROOFLINE SUPPLY & DELIVERY, an Oregon corporation; SRS	
17	DISTRIBUTION INC., a Delaware corporation; J. B. WHOLESALE ROOFING	
18 19	AND BUILDING SUPPLIES, INC., a California corporation; BUILDERS SUPPLY	
20	LOGISTICS, INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	
21	Defendants.	
22	·	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

ORDER ON STIPULATION

Before the Court is the Parties' Joint Stipulation to Permit Plaintiffs Leave to File Amended Complaint ("Joint Stipulation"). In the Joint Stipulation, the Parties seek an order from the Court permitting Plaintiffs leave to file a First Amended Complaint. For the reasons outlined in the Joint Stipulation and for good cause appearing therein, the Court finds that the Parties' requests are GRANTED as follows:

- 1. Plaintiffs are permitted leave to file the First Amended Complaint that is *attached* to the Parties' Joint Stipulation as **Exhibit A** is GRANTED;
- 2. Upon entry of this Order, Plaintiffs may file the First Amended Complaint attached to the Parties Joint Stipulation as **Exhibit A**; and
- 3. Upon filing of the First Amended Complaint, Defendants shall have 30 days in which to file a responsive pleading.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 30, 2023

WILLIAM B. SHUBB

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

In shit